REGULAR ARTICLE

Soil-atmosphere exchange of N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ along a slope of an evergreen broad-leaved forest in southern China

7 Yunting Fang • Per Gundersen • Wei Zhang •

8 Guoyi Zhou · Jesper Riis Christiansen ·

9 Jiangming Mo · Shaofeng Dong · Tao Zhang

10 Received: 11 June 2008 / Accepted: 20 November 2008

11 © Springer Science + Business Media B.V. 2008

12

 $\frac{1}{3}$

13Abstract At most sites the magnitude of soil-atmosphere exchange of nitrous dioxide (N₂O), carbon 14dioxide (CO_2) and methane (CH_4) was estimated 15based on a few chambers located in a limited area. 16Topography has been demonstrated to influence the 1718production and consumption of these gases in temperate ecosystems, but this aspect has often been 1920ignored in tropical areas. In this study, we investigated spatial variability of the net fluxes of these gases 21along a 100 m long slope of a evergreen broadleaved 2223forest in southern China over a whole year. We expected that the lower part of slope would release 24more N₂O and CO₂, but take up less atmospheric CH₄ 2526than the upper part due to different availability of water and nutrients. Our results showed that the soil 2728moisture (Water Filled Pore Space, WFPS) decreased 29along the slope from bottom to top as we expected,

Responsible Editor: Per Ambus.

Y. Fang (⊠) · W. Zhang · G. Zhou · J. Mo · S. Dong · T. Zhang South China Botanical Garden, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xingke Road No 723, Tianhe District, Guangzhou 510650, China e-mail: fangyt@scbg.ac.cn

P. Gundersen · J. R. Christiansen
Forest & Landscape Denmark, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Copenhagen,
Hørsholm Kongevej 11,
2970 Hørsholm, Denmark but among the three gases only N₂O emissions 30 followed this pattern. Annual means of WFPS ranged 31from 27.7% to 52.7% within the slope, and annual 32emissions of N_2O ranged from 2.0 to 4.4 kg N ha⁻¹ 33 year⁻¹, respectively. These two variables were highly 34and positively correlated across the slope. Neither 35potential rates of net N mineralization and nitrifica-36tion, nor N₂O emissions in the laboratory incubated 37 soils varied with slope positions. Soil CO₂ release and 38CH₄ uptake appeared to be independent on slope 39position in this study. Our results suggested that soil 40water content and associated N₂O emissions are likely 41 to be influenced by topography even in a short slope, 42which may need to be taken into account in field 43measurements and modelling. 44

KeywordsNitrous oxide · Carbon dioxide · Methane ·45Soil water content · Slope · Subtropical forest46

Introduction

47

Carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (N₂O) and 48methane (CH₄) are the three main greenhouse gases 49(GHG) contributing to global warming (IPCC 2001). 50The increases in their atmospheric concentrations are 51attributed mainly to anthropogenic activities, such as 52deforestation, agricultural practices, and fossil fuels 53combustion. Besides, a considerable amount of 54atmospheric GHG is produced and consumed through 55soil processes (IPCC 2001). However, the large 56

temporal and spatial variability of soil processes makes 57the accurate estimation and prediction of landscape 5859soil-atmosphere exchange of these gases challenging, especially in tropical forests where relatively few sites 60 have been monitored (Breuer et al. 2000; Werner et al. 61622007). Furthermore, at most sites the magnitude was estimated based on a few chambers located in a 63 limited area (Breuer et al. 2000; Tang et al. 2006), 6465which very likely causes potential error when estimating regional gas fluxes between land and atmo-66 sphere by scaling up from small sampling units over 67 68 heterogeneous areas (Reiners et al. 1998).

A range of environmental factors, such temperature 69 and moisture, and soil properties have been identified to 7071be controls of soil C and N cycling processes (Davidson et al. 2000; Corre et al. 2002; Saiz et al. 2006; Tang et 72al. 2006; Mo et al. 2008). These controls are, in turn, 73influenced by topography, through the movements of 74surface and subsurface water, nutrients and dissolved 75soil organic matter (Hairston and Grigal 1994; Hirobe 7677 et al. 1998; Hishi et al. 2004). Nitrogen concentrations in living leaves, fresh litter, litter-layer and soil upper 78layers were shown to be lower in the valley plots than 7980 in both slope and plateau plots in a central Amazonian forest (Luizao et al. 2004). At the walker Branch forest 81 watershed (Tennessee, USA), it was shown that valley 82 83 floors had greater potential net nitrification, and greater 84 microbial activities (Garten et al. 1994). Within a slope of a plantation in Shiga prefecture of Japan, net 85nitrification and percent nitrification were high in the 86 lower part and very low in the upper part of the slope, 87 although net N mineralization showed no clear gradient 88 89 (Hirobe et al. 1998). Generally speaking, compared to upper slope well-drained soils, lower slope poorly-90 drained soils have higher microbial respiration, N 9192mineralization, net nitrification, microbial biomass N, 93denitrification and lower N immobilization (see Corre et al. 2002). In addition, soil texture and vegetation, 94that influence soil C and N cycles, can also be affected 95by topography (Luizao et al. 2004). It can thus be 96 predicted that the patterns of soil C and N processes 9798along a slope will inevitably affect those of soilatmosphere exchange of GHG. This has been demon-99 strated by a number of studies in temperate ecosystems 100(Corre et al. 1996, 2002; Ambus 1998; Holst et al. 101 2008; Jungkunst et al. 2008; Yu et al. 2008), but in 102tropics the spatial variability in GHG efflux appeared 103to be often ignored (Reiners et al. 1998). In some 104 105 tropical areas with distinct dry and wet seasons, there

may be a different spatial pattern of trace gas106exchanges between soil and atmosphere along the107slope in different seasons.108

In southern China, forests are mainly distributed in 109mountains and hills, which exhibit a large landscape 110variability. The magnitude, temporal, and spatial pat-111 terns of soil-atmospheric exchanges of greenhouse 112gases in forests of this region are in particular highly 113uncertain (Tang et al. 2006; Werner et al. 2006). In an 114old-growth broadleaf forest of this region, Tang et al. 115(2006) found a high soil N2O emission rate of 4.7 kg N 116ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, which is well above the averages of 1.2– 1171.4 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ estimated for tropical forests 118(Stehfest and Bouwman 2006; Werner et al. 2007) and 119is far higher than the rate (0.5 kg N ha^{-1} year⁻¹) in a 120primary tropical forest in southwestern China (Werner 121et al. 2006). This high rate may be related to local high 122atmospheric N deposition (20–50 kg N ha^{-1} year⁻¹, 123Fang et al. 2008a). We have also found elevated N 124leaching in soil water below the main rooting zone 125(67 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ including organic N, Fang et al. 1262008a) in this forest. However, the N leaching in a 127small stream draining the catchment is much lower 128(17 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ including organic N, Fang et al. 1292008b). We suspect that the reason for the reduction in 130N leaching from upslope soils to the stream would be 131due in part to denitrification (partially emitted as N_2O) 132in the bottom of the catchment near the stream. 133

In the present study, we investigated the spatial 134pattern of in situ soil-atmosphere exchange of N₂O, 135CO₂ and CH₄ along a short and steep slope in an 136evergreen broadleaved forest in southern China over a 137whole year. At the end of field measurement, soils 138were taken to quantify the potential rates of net N 139mineralization and nitrification and these gas fluxes 140with laboratory incubation method. We hypothesized 141that soil water availability and soil N chemistry and 142thereby soil-atmosphere exchange of N2O, CO2, and 143CH₄ would change with slope position, i.e. the lower 144 part of slope would release more N₂O and CO₂, but 145take up less CH₄ than the upper part. 146

Methods and materials 147

148

Site description

The study site is located in Dinghushan Biosphere 149 Reserve (DHSBR) in the middle part of Guangdong 150 151 province in southern China (112°33' E and 23°10' N). This forest area is representative of the dominant 152153landscape type of vast areas in the region. The climate is warm and humid. The mean annual rainfall of 1541,927 mm has a distinct seasonal pattern, with 75% 155156falling from March to August and only 6% from December to February (Huang and Fan 1982). Mean 157annual relative humidity is 80% and mean annual 158159temperature is 21.0°C, with average temperatures in the coolest month (January) and the hottest month 160 (July) of 12.6°C and 28.0°C, respectively (Huang and 161162 Fan 1982). These forests have been exposed to high atmospheric N deposition of 20–50 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ 163in the last 15 years (Fang et al. 2008a). 164

165A short and steep slope was selected to conduct 166 this study in a middle size forested catchment in August 2005. The slope is 100 m long from the 167 stream to the ridge, and its slope is 15-35%, with an 168average of 29% (Fig. 1). This forest has been well 169protected since the establishment of the reserve in 1701956. The major species are Castanopsis chinensis, 171 172 Machilus chinensis, Schima superba, Cryptocarva chinensis, Syzygium rehderianum in the canopy and 173sub-canopy layers of this forest. The soil is lateritic 174175 red earth formed from sandstone (Oxisols). The pH 176 value (H₂O) in upper 10 cm mineral soil is 3.8 and the 177 C/N ratio is 22 (Fang et al. 2008a).

178 Field measurements

179 Five sampling plots (5 m×10 m) were set at 15– 180 25 m long intervals on the slope at the beginning 181 of this study, and were designated as bottom, 182 middle 1, middle 2, middle 3 and top, respectively 183 (Fig. 1). In each plot, three replicate chambers 1– 184 2.5 m apart at similar elevation were anchored 5 cm into the soil permanently. Each chamber was a 25 cm 185diameter ring made of stainless-steel (Zhang et al. 1862008a). In order to minimize the effect of tree 187 distance (Butterbach-Bahl et al. 2002; Saiz et al. 188 2006), all chambers were at least 1.5 m away from 189stems. And plants inside ring were cut if any. At the 190beginning of this study, all living trees higher than 1912 m within each plot were tagged, numbered, 192identified to species, and their height and diameter 193at breast height (DBH) were recorded. 194

Gases were collected monthly during the period 195from September 2005 to August 2006. During each 196flux measurement, a removable 35 cm high cham-197 ber top (made of stainless-steel) was attached to the 198ring. Gas samples were collected with 100 ml 199plastic syringes at 0 (time 0) and 30 min (time 1) 200after the chamber closure and analyzed for gas 201concentrations within 24 h using gas chromatogra-202phy (Agilent 4890D, Agilent Co. USA, Tang et al. 2032006) to calculate exchange rates (based on the 204difference in gas concentration between the time 0 205and time 1). We did not sample gases in chamber at 20610 min intervals during each measurement, as often 207found in other reports (Tang et al. 2006). This is 208because the previous study in an adjacent evergreen 209broadleaved forest showed air concentration in cham-210bers at the same size as those we used, linearly 211increased within the first hour of field incubation 212(Tang et al. 2006). 213

The static chamber technique is known to underestimate gases production, like CO_2 by about 10– 15%, because the rising concentration within the chamber headspace, reduces the diffusion gradient within the soil (Pumpanen et al. 2004). Since we here focused on the comparison between slope positions this underestimation is of minor importance. Soil 220

Fig. 1 Schematic drawing of the designated slope position along the study slope in a subtropical evergreen broadleaved forest in DHSBR of southern China. The *arrows* indicated the locations of chamber



221 temperature and moisture at 5 cm below soil surface 222 were recorded at each chamber at the beginning of 223 each gas measurement. Soil temperature was mea-224 sured using a digital thermometer. Volumetric soil 225 moisture was measured simultaneously using a MPKit 226 ((ICT, Australia). In this paper, these recorded soil 227 moisture values were converted to WFPS (Water 228 Filled Pore Space) by the following formula:

WFPS
$$[\%] = \operatorname{Vol}[\%] / (1 - \operatorname{SBD}[\operatorname{g}\operatorname{cm}^{-3}] / 2.65 [\operatorname{g}\operatorname{cm}^{-3}])$$

239 Where SBD is soil bulk density, Vol is volumetric water moisture and 2.65 is the density of quartz.

233 Laboratory incubation

234 All organic layer (above the mineral soil) within 235 each chamber were collected immediately by hand after the last field measurement (August 2006), and 236237 then the mineral soils (0-10 cm depth) were 238sampled for soil bulk density determination and 239laboratory incubations, using a stainless steel corer (3 cm diameter). In laboratory, organic layer was 240241 dried and weighed; the mineral soils from each 242 chamber were mixed thoroughly by hand removing 243 fine roots and stones, and then were passed through a 2 mm mesh sieve. 244

245Of the sieved mineral soil, four sub-samples of 246 about 10 g from each chamber were taken to measure soil water content, water holding capacity (WHC), pH 247value and extractable inorganic N (NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻) 248concentration, respectively, and two replicate sub-249samples of 80 g were adjusted soil water content to 25060% of WHC and were then put into 30 PVC-251252 containers of 1.2 L for further laboratory incubation. 253These containers were kept for 30 days in an airconditioned room at 20°C. The air in each container 254was sampled five times over the incubation period (0, 255256 7, 14, 21, and 30 days). Prior to each air sampling, the 257containers were opened for an hour and then sealed 258with screw-caps fitted with plastic tubes for 12 h. Air 259 from the headspace of the containers was drawn out with 100 ml nylon syringes to analyze concentrations 260of GHG, using the same method described above. Air 261262 samples from five blanks without soils were consid-263 ered as the initial condition. After each sampling the containers were covered with gas-permeable polyeth-264265ylene until the next sampling. The incubated soils 266 were kept at constant gravimetric moisture content

throughout the incubation period by regular additions267of distilled water. At the end of the month-long268incubation, extractable soil inorganic N concentration269was measured for each incubated container.270

For measurement of soil extractable inorganic 271N, one 10 g mineral soil from each chamber/ 272container was shaken for 1 h in 50 ml 1 mol L^{-1} 273KCl, and filtered through pre-leached Whatman no.1 274filters. The NH₄⁺ concentration in soil extracts was 275determined by the indophenol blue method followed 276by colorimetry, and the NO₃⁻ concentration was 277 determined after cadmium reduction to NO₂⁻, fol-278lowed by sulfanilamide-NAD reaction (Liu et al. 2791996). Soil pH was measured in deionized water 280suspension after shaking for 1 h at a ratio of 25 ml 281water to 10 g mineral soil, using a glass electrode 282(Liu et al. 1996). 283

Statistical analysis

284

Repeated measures ANOVA (RMANOVA) with 285Turkey's HSD test was performed to examine the 286difference in soil temperature, soil moisture and gas 287fluxes among five slope positions for the study 288period from September 2005 to August 2006. One-289way ANOVA was also performed for each sampling 290occasion. In order to examine the difference in 291spatial pattern of these variables along the slope 292between in dry season and wet season, we 293designated the period from October 2005 to 294February 2006 as dry-cool season and the rest of 295year as humid-warm season (Fig. 2) and performed 296two-way ANOVA (sampling date and slope position 297as main factors) for these two seasons separately. For 298soil variables (for in situ, annual means only) both 299one-way ANOVA and ANOVA with increasing 300 distance from the bottom of the slope (broken down 301into orthogonal polynomial components) was used to 302 identify the spatial pattern on the slope. Single 303 correlation analysis was used to examine the relations 304 between soil variables. For the relationship between 305in situ gas fluxes, soil temperature and soil moisture, 306 both linear and nonlinear regression models (Tang et 307 al. 2006; Mo et al. 2008) were further examined and 308 the best-fitted regressions were chosen in terms of 309 correlation coefficients. All analyses were conducted 310using SPSS 10.0 for Windows. Statistical significant 311differences were set at P values <0.05 unless 312otherwise stated. 313



Fig. 2 Seasonal patterns of precipitation, air temperature, soil temperature, moisture (WFPS) and fluxes of N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ at different slope position from the stream. *Error bars* represented standard errors (n=3). *Asterisks* indicated significant differences between slope positions at P < 0.05

Results

Precipitation and air temperature

The data from the weather station in the reserve showed 316 that there was a prolonged drought from October to 317 December 2005 during the measuring campaign 318 (Fig. 2), but annual precipitation (1,880 mm) was 319close to the long-term average of 1,927 mm (Huang 320 and Fan 1982). Only 118 mm or 6% of annual 321precipitation fell in the dry-cool season (October 2005 322 to February 2006). Also the annual mean temperature 323 21.7°C, as well as the monthly temperature range 324from 12.1°C (December 2005) to 28.9°C (July 2006) 325(Fig. 2), were close to the long-term averages (Huang 326 and Fan 1982). 327

Stand characteristics

328

339

t1.1

314

315

The density was on average 1,580 stems ha^{-1} for the 329 trees with height over 2 m (Table 1). The mean height 330 was 6.9-10.5 m, and mean DBH was 10.6 to 19.2 cm. 331The basal area at breast height varied greatly from 20 332to 62 m^2 ha⁻¹ within the slope, with a total mean of 333 $38 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$. There were no clear gradients for these 334 measurements along the slope. However, the amount 335of organic soil layer, ranging from 2.6 to 4.3 Mg ha⁻¹, 336 appeared to increase with the slope from bottom to 337 top (Table 1). 338

Soil characteristics

Soil temperature and moisture exhibited clear seasonal courses, and generally followed those of air 341 temperature and precipitation (Fig. 2). Mean soil 342 temperature across the slope ranged from 14.5°C to 343

Table 1	Stand	characteristics	along	the s	slone (10	$m \times 15$	m	plots)
I abit I	Stand	ciluluctoristics	aiong	une .	stope (10	111.12	111	prous

Slope position	Distance ^a (m)	Elevation ^b (m)	Density	Height (m	l)	DBH (cm)	Basal area	Floor litter	
			(stems ha ⁻¹)	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	$(m^2 ha^{-1})$	(Mg ha ⁻¹)	t1 t1
Bottom	1.5	0.5	800	2.6-19.5	7.4	3.0-43.2	10.6	19.5	2.6	t1
Middle 1	25	7	1,800	3.6-19.5	10.5	3.0-47.0	19.2	61.7	3.1	t1
Middle 2	50	13	2,200	2.7-13.6	6.9	3.0-36.3	11.2	28	3.5	t1
Middle 3	75	20	1,200	3.3-22.6	8.7	3.1-48.2	12.1	35.8	3.9	t1
Тор	90	25	1,900	2.2–18.6	7.7	3.1–38.7	12.7	46.4	4.3	t1

^a The distance from the stream (Fig. 1)

^b The elevation above the stream

t1.9

344 30.3°C, and mean soil moisture (WFPS) ranged from 345 18.4% to 70.9%. The soil temperature varied signif-346 icantly between slope positions across the observation period, although the absolute differences were very 347 348small (Fig. 2). The differences were statistically 349significant at most sampling dates and thus also on the seasonal means and annual means (Fig. 2 and 350Table 2). Soil moisture was significantly different by 351352the slope positions almost throughout the whole year (Fig. 2). Annual mean moisture over the study period 353ranged from 27.7% to 52.7% on the slope, which was 354355within that of seasonal variation (18.4% to 70.9%). The highest moisture was not located in the lowest 356part of the slope, but in the middle part, although they 357358 were not statistically significant (Figs. 2 and 3). The driest part was observed in the top of the slope as 359expected (Fig. 3). Soil moisture differed with slope 360 position stronger in the dry-cool season than the 361humid-warm season (Fig. 3). 362

363 At the end of the field measurement campaign, 364 the upper 10 cm mineral soil from all chambers was collected for property analysis. The results 365 showed that soil bulk density was not significantly 366367 different by the slope position (Table 3). The soils were strongly acidic, with pH values being 3.63-368 369 3.82. The highest pH value was found in the bottom 370 of the slope. Concentrations of total extractable inorganic N ($NH_4^+ + NO_3^-$) were similar between 371372 the slope positions. However, extractable NH_4^+ concentration was highest in the top of the slope 373374 and lowest in the bottom, and it increased signifi-375 cantly with the distance from the slope bottom to top 376 (Table 3). The reverse was observed for extractable 377 NO_3^- concentration (Table 3).

378

379

In situ soil–atmosphere exchange of N_2O , CO_2 , and CH_4

Emission rates of N₂O and CO₂ were significantly 380 lower in the dry-cool season where there was a 3-381month long drought period, than in the humid-warm 382 season (Figs. 2 and 3). Over the slope, emission rates 383 of N₂O and CO₂ were 68% and 98% higher in humid-384warm season, respectively (Fig. 3). These patterns 385well agreed with those of soil temperature and 386 moisture, as evidenced by significant correlations 387between these variables across the slope (all P <3880.001, n=180, Fig. 4). Higher emission rates occurred 389in soils with temperature 25-30°C and with WFPS 39035–65% (Fig. 4). At most sampling times, CH₄ was 391consumed by the soil, but no obvious seasonal trend 392and thereby no dependency on soil temperature and 393moisture were found (Figs. 2 and 3). 394

Soil N₂O emissions (4.4–111.7 μ g N m⁻² h⁻¹) 395were significantly affected by slope position on three 396 out of 12 sampling dates (Fig. 2), and RMANOVA 397 over the study period showed that soil N₂O emissions 398 were significantly different by slope position (P= 399 0.005). The effect of slope position on N₂O emissions 400 was smaller in the dry-cool months than in the humid-401 warm months (Figs. 2 and 3). Soil N₂O emissions 402exhibited a substantial spatial variability with a range 403 from 22.6 to 50.6 μ g N m⁻² h⁻¹ over the study period. 404 This range was slightly narrower than the temporal 405variation of 12.1 to 69.9 μ g N m⁻² h⁻¹. The highest 406 emission rate was not located in the bottom of the 407slope. The spatial pattern of N₂O emission rates along 408the slope followed that of soil moisture from bottom 409to top (Fig. 3, Table 2). 410

	ANOVA	(individual position)	ANOVA (increasing slope)			
	df	F	Р	F	P<0.05	Orthogonals	
Temperature (°C)	4	17.1	< 0.001	65.2	< 0.001	Linear	
WFPS (%)	4	5.8	0.01	12.8	0.001	Quadratic	
$N_2O (\mu g N m^{-2} h^{-1})$	4	7.5	0.005	8.4	0.005	Quadratic	
$CO_2 \text{ (mg C m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}\text{)}$	4	1.9	0.19	2.6	0.13	n.s.	
$CH_4 (\mu g C m^{-2} h^{-1})$	4	1.2	0.35	0.29	0.75	n.s.	

Table 2 Analyses of variance (ANOVA) on the effects of individual slope position and of increasing distance from the slope bottomt2.1(broken down into orthogonal polynomial components) on annual mean soil temperature, moisture, and fluxes of N2O, CO2 and CH4t2.1

n.s. not significant

t2.9

Fig. 3 Seasonal and annual means of soil temperature and moisture (WFPS), and fluxes of N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ along the slope. *Error* bars represented standard errors (n=3). Values with the same letter indicated no significant differences between slope positions at P < 0.05

Table 3Mineral soil properties (0-10 cm depth) at the last sampling occasion (Aug 2006), which also were initial conditions for thet3.1laboratory incubation

Slope position	SBD (g cm^{-3})	WFPS (%)	pH (H ₂ O)	$\mathrm{NH_4^+} \ (\mathrm{mg} \ \mathrm{N} \ \mathrm{kg}^{-1})$	$NO_3^- (mg N kg^{-1})$	$NH_4^{+}+NO_3^{-} (mg \ N \ kg^{-1})$	t3.
Bottom	0.95 (0.13)	46.9 (5.4)	3.8 (0.04)a	2.7 (0.3)ab	6.3 (1.0)a	9.0 (0.8)	t3.
Middle 1	0.92 (0.05)	40.3 (5.0)	3.6 (0.05)b	2.2 (0.6)b	6.6 (0.6)a	8.9 (0.9)	t3.4
Middle 2	0.98 (0.03)	41.8 (2.3)	3.6 (0.01)b	3.5 (0.4)ab	4.3 (0.3)ab	7.7 (0.6)	t3.
Middle 3	1.03 (0.06)	42.6 (3.5)	3.7 (0.01)ab	4.7 (0.5)ab	2.8 (0.2)b	7.5 (0.6)	t3.
Тор	1.06 (0.04)	34.6 (3.0)	3.6 (0.05)b	5.7 (1.3)a	3.2 (0.8)b	8.9 (1.9)	t3.'
<i>P</i> 1	0.64	0.35	0.01	0.032	0.005	0.76	t3.8
P2	0.12	0.11	0.20	0.002	< 0.001	0.67	t3.

SE in parentheses. P1 and P2 denote the P values obtained from the effect of individual slope position (one-way ANOVA) and t3.10 obtained from ANOVA on the effect of increasing distance from the bottom of the slope, respectively. Values within columns sharing the same letter were not significantly different (one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD; P < 0.05)

The topographic influence on soil CO₂ emissions 411 412was not clear in humid-warm season, but significant lowest values were observed on the top position in 413dry-cool season (Fig. 3). Over the study period, 414 RMANOVA showed the topographic influence was 415not significant (Fig. 3 and Table 2). The difference of 416 maximum and minimum soil CO₂ emissions on the 417418 slope was similar between the two seasons (Fig. 3). No significant difference in CH₄ uptake was found 419among slope position, in any season or over the whole 420421 study year (Fig. 3 and Table 2), but soils appeared to 422take up more atmospheric CH₄ in dry-cool season 423than in humid-warm season (Fig. 3).

424 When linking annual fluxes of three gases to 425 annual means of soil temperature and moisture across 426 the entire slope, we found that annual N₂O emissions 427 were correlated significantly (P=0.01) with soil 428 temperature and marginally (P=0.08) with soil mois-429 ture (Fig. 5). But no such correlations were found for 430 either soil CO₂ emissions or CH₄ uptake.

431 The pH and concentrations of extractable NH_4^+ -432 N and NO_3^- -N in the top 10 cm mineral soil, were 433 measured on the last sampling date. We related these 434 variables to in situ soil N₂O and CO₂ emission and 435 CH₄ uptake on that sampling date, and found that soil 436 N₂O emissions were marginally correlated with 437 concentrations of extractable NO_3^- -N (positively, P=0.06) and NH_4^+-N (negatively, P=0.08). Soil 438 N₂O emissions were not correlated with soil temperature or moisture on that sampling date. Soil CO₂ 440 emissions were significantly (P=0.02) correlated with 441 soil pH values only. Soil CH₄ uptake was not 442 influenced by any soil variable. 443

Potential rates of soil N transformations and fluxes 444of N₂O, CO₂, and CH₄ 445

Monthly rate of potential net N mineralization ranged 446 from 15.5 to 21.1 mg N kg dry soil⁻¹ and all 447mineralized N was nitrified (Table 4). Neither 448 potential net N mineralization nor nitrification was 449found to be different by the slope position (Table 4). 450Nor were accumulative emissions of N₂O or CO₂ 451from incubated soils in laboratory. In contrast, the 452ability to oxide CH₄ appeared to decrease from the 453bottom to the top (Table 4). There were no significant 454correlations between mean in situ and potential fluxes 455for any of the three gases. Potential N₂O emission or 456CH₄ uptake showed no significant correlations with 457soil extractable N or with N mineralization and 458nitrification in the laboratory incubation (Table 4). 459However, we found good relationships between 460potential CO₂ fluxes and N mineralization and 461 nitrification (P=0.029 and 0.012, respectively). 462 Fig. 5 Relationships between annual fluxes of N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ and mean soil temperature and moisture (WFPS) across the slope. n=15

463 Discussion

Annual soil N₂O emissions from this study forest are 464 465 2.0–4.4 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, with a mean of 3.0 kg N ha^{-1} year⁻¹, and annual CO₂ are 5.4–9.0 Mg C ha^{-1} 466 year⁻¹, with a mean of 6.2 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ across 467 the slope (Fig. 5). These values are somewhat smaller 468 than the observations from the nearby broadleaved 469forests which have been protected for more than 470400 years (on average, 4.7 kg N ha^{-1} year⁻¹ and 471472 9.9 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, Tang et al. 2006). Likewise, the 473 soil CH₄ uptake is smaller in our study forest (-4.3-474 0.4 kg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, on average -1.9 kg C ha⁻¹ 475 year⁻¹) than in that old forest ($-7.8 \text{ kg C ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$,

Tang et al. 2006). This could be because we studied a 476young forest (50 years old), where a stronger N 477utilization by vegetation and soil organisms are 478expected than in that old forest. However, our results 479are slightly higher than those in the adjacent mixed and 480the pine forests, where N2O emissions were measured 481 to be 2.1–2.7 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (Tang et al. 2006; 482 Zhang et al. 2008a). On the other hand, the seasonal 483pattern of soil variables were observed in the study 484(Figs. 2 and 3) similarly as the previous reports (Tang 485et al. 2006; Mo et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2008a, b). 486

Riparian zone or wetland area situated at the 487 interface of terrestrial and aquatic environment, have 488long been identified to be "hotspots" of N₂O 489

Table 4 Potential rates of N transformation and cumulative amounts of N ₂ O, CO ₂ and CH ₄ over the incubation of 1 month							
Slope position	N mineralization (mg N kg $^{-1}$ mo $^{-1}$)	Nitrification (mg N kg ^{-1} mo ^{-1})	$N_2O \ (\mu g \ N \ kg^{-1} \ mo^{-1})$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CO}_2 \ (\text{mg C} \\ \text{kg}^{-1} \ \text{mo}^{-1} \end{array}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} CH_4 \ (\mu g \ C \\ kg^{-1} \ mo^{-1}) \end{array}$		
Bottom	17.8 (2.2)	18.6 (2.4)	138 (88)	237 (36)	-135 (38)b		
Middle 1	15.9 (3.0)	16.4 (2.8)	42 (48)	211 (36)	-122 (7)ab		
Middle 2	15.5 (2.4)	17.6 (2.0)	61 (10)	210 (11)	-51 (35)ab		
Middle 3	17.5 (4.2)	17.7 (3.4)	50 (6)	208 (30)	-95 (41)ab		
Тор	21.1 (3.8)	21.2 (3.5)	89 (16)	269 (7)	10 (8)a		
<i>P</i> 1	0.75	0.81	0.49	0.48	0.04		
P2	0.43	0.48	0.49	0.56	0.01		

Table 4 Potential rates of N transformation and cumulative amounts of N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ over the incubation of 1 month

SE in parentheses. P1 and P2 denote the P values obtained from the effect of individual slope position (one-way ANOVA) and t4.10 obtained from ANOVA on the effect of increasing distance from the bottom of the slope, respectively. Values within columns sharing the same letter were not significantly different (one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD; P < 0.05)

490 production in the landscape (Groffman et al. 1998; Hefting et al. 2006). In the present study, the bottom 491 492position which was 1.5 m away from the stream, can also be considered to be such a kind of area. Our 493results did show an increase in N₂O emission rates in 494this part of the slope (Fig. 3), the soil N₂O emission 495rate at the bottom position (6.7–77.9 μ g N m⁻² h⁻¹, 496Fig. 2) is, however, much smaller than those reported 497for temperate riparian forest soils (up to 9,000 µg N 498 m^{-2} h⁻¹, Hefting et al. 2006). This could be due in 499part to our bottom position locating by a periodically 500501stream within a small catchment (<10 ha) and low soil water content (SWFP on average 45%, Fig. 3). 502Similarly, this absolute increase (top to bottom 503difference 2.2 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) is too small to 504explain the observed difference in the leaching N flux 505below the main rooting zone (67 kg N ha^{-1} year⁻¹. 506Fang et al. 2008a) and in streamwater (17 kg N ha^{-1} 507year⁻¹, Fang et al. 2008b). On the other hand, this 508result suggests that other mechanisms, such as plant 509uptake, sorption, desorption, and microbial decompo-510sition in deeper soil layers (Fang et al. 2008b), the 511emissions of NO (Li et al. 2007) and N₂, might be of 512importance in the reduction in N leaching from 513upslope to the stream. Additional N removal, like N 514uptake and denitrification in stream water might also 515occur after the water exported from soils but before 516was sampled for chemical analysis. 517

Soil moisture varied greatly with the slope posi-518tion, and it decreased in the upper part of the slope as 519we expected. But the wettest area was not located in 520the bottom of the slope (the lowest part), and soil 521522water content showed quadratic relationship with slope position over the study year with being more 523pronounced in dry-cool season (Fig. 3). Among the 524three gases we investigated, however, only N₂O 525526emissions followed the pattern of soil moisture along the slope (Fig. 3). Soil N₂O emissions exhibited a 527substantial spatial variability, with a range being 528529slightly narrower than the temporal variation. This result indicates that the effect of slope position and 530associated soil moisture on soil N2O emissions is 531532comparable to that of season in the study forest. However, we observed decreased soil N₂O emissions 533on the middle 2 position where the soils were the 534535wettest. One of explanations is that high denitrification activity occurred on this position, by which a 536large fraction of N₂O produced was reduced to N₂ or 537538 NO. This is consistent with the fact that higher N_2O emission rate take place in soils with WFPS of 35-53965%, not over 70% (Fig. 4). Low root and/or 540microbial activity indicated by low soil respiration 541can also in part account for the decreased N₂O 542emission therein (Fig. 3). Both nitrification and 543denitrification can produce N₂O, but the present study 544does not allow us to distinguish their respective 545contribution. Thus further research need to address 546which process is the main source of N₂O in the study 547soil and if it varies with slope position. 548

The measurement of extractable NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in 549the upper 10 cm mineral soil at the end of sampling 550period can provide a snap-shot index of the relative 551sized of N availability and N processes as shaped by 552topographic conditions within the slope. Extractable 553 NO_3^{-} concentration was significantly higher in the 554lower part of the slope than in the upper, and the 555reverse was true for extractable NH₄⁺ concentration 556(Table 3). The percentage of NO_3^- of the total 557extractable inorganic N decreased from 61-82% in 558the bottom and middle 1 of the slope to 24-46% in 559the top (Table 3). The soil N_2O emissions on the same 560sampling date were found to positively relate to the 561concurrent extractable NO_3^- concentration (P=0.06). 562These results indicated that the soils might have a 563stronger nitrification process in the lower part than in 564the upper part, during which N₂O was produced. 565More NO₃⁻ concentration in the lower part also meant 566that there was more NO3⁻ source therein to fuel the 567denitrifier and thereby promote denitrification in 568combination with higher soil water content (lower 569oxygen concentration). 570

There were no differences in the potential rates of 571net N mineralization and nitrification, and cumulative 572N₂O emissions as well, across the slope position in 573the laboratory incubation where moisture was kept 574constant. The vegetation was not shown to differ 575significantly along this short and steep slope either 576(Table 1). Soil temperature decreased from the top to 577the bottom of the slope, but the difference was very 578small (Fig. 3). The observed negative correlation 579between soil temperature and N₂O emissions (Fig. 5), 580opposite to the normal effect of temperature on 581microbial processes, was due to the covariant soil 582temperature and moisture over the gradient. We can 583therefore conclude that the difference in in situ soil 584N₂O emissions along the slope may mainly result 585from the environmental control, soil water availability 586in field. 587

In this study, no clear gradient along the slope was 588found for soil CO_2 emission over the whole study 589590year, although slope position has a significant influence in dry-cool season (Fig. 3). It indicated that 591soil CO₂ emissions might be influenced by other 592593factors in addition to soil water, such as amount of organic materials on the floor (Table 1), root biomass 594and soil depth (the latter two were not measured in 595596 this study). In situ soil CH₄ uptake was not significantly influenced by slope position either 597 (Figs. 2 and 3), despite that the ability to oxide

598 (Figs. 2 and 3), despite that the ability to oxide 599 atmosphere CH_4 in the laboratory incubation 600 appeared to be stronger in the soil from the lower 601 part compared to that from upper part (Table 4). 602 The wettest part was not observed in the bottom of

603 the slope in our study. This might be related to soil texture, bedrock depth, water flow pattern and organic 604 matter content. At the bottom of the slope close to the 605stream, the soil was well drained, because the stream 606 channel was about 0.5 m deep. Some organic 607 materials, a key component holding moisture and 608 providing fuels for microbes including nitrifying and 609 denitrifying bacteria, in this part of the slope is likely 610 to be taken away by seasonal floodings, which may 611 also explain partially why the amount of organic layer 612was the lowest in this part of the slope (Table 1). 613Similar trend of soil moisture was found in a 108 m 614 long slope in a Japanese forest (Hirobe et al. 1998). 615In conclusion, this study demonstrated that soil 616

water content and N₂O emission rates were signifi-617 cantly different by slope position even within a short 618and steep slope. Annual soil N₂O emission was highly 619 620 related to mean soil moisture across the slope. No clear trends were observed for soil CO2 release and 621CH₄ uptake in this study. Our results nonetheless 622 indicated that soil water content and associated soil 623 624 N₂O emissions are likely to be influenced by topography, with the spatial variation by slope 625positions being comparable to the temporal variation 626 627 by seasons. This may need to be taken into account in field measurements and modelling. 628

629

- **Acknowledgements** This study was funded by the National Acknowledgements This study was funded by the National Research Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 30725006, 333 40703030, 30670392), Postdoctoral Fellowship of South China Academy of Sciences (200611), 354 and the NitroEurope IP (EU-contract No. 17841). We thank Research Natural Sciences for their kind assistances in the field and Boratory. Comments from two anonymous reviewers have
- 638 greatly improved the quality of this paper.

References

- Ambus P (1998) Nitrous oxide production by denitrification640and nitrification in temperate forest, grassland and agri-641culture soils. Eur J Soil Sci 49:495–502 doi:10.1046/642j.1365-2389.1998.4930495.x643
- Breuer L, Panen H, Butterbach-Bahl K (2000) N2O emission644from tropical forest soils of Australia. J Geophys Res 105645(D21):26353-26367 doi:10.1029/2000JD900424646
- Butterbach-Bahl K, Rothe A, Papen H (2002) Effect of tree distance on N₂O and CH₄-fluxes from soils in temperate forest ecosystems. Plant Soil 240:91–103 doi:10.1023/ 649 A:1015828701885
- Corre MD, van Kessel C, Pennock DJ (1996) Landscape and 651 seasonal patterns of nitrous oxide emissions in a semiarid 652 region. Soil Sci Soc Am J 60:1806–1815 653
- Corre MD, Schnabel RR, Stout WL (2002) Spatial and seasonal654variation of gross nitrogen transformations and microbial655biomass in a Northeastern US grassland. Soil Biol Biochem65634:445–457 doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00198-5657
- Davidson EA, Verchot LV, Cattânio JH, Ackerman IL, 658
 Carvalho JEM (2000) Effects of soil water content on soil respiration in forests and cattle pastures of eastern Amazonia. Biogeochemistry 48:53–69 doi:10.1023/ 661
 A:1006204113917 662
- Fang YT, Gundersen P, Mo JM, Zhu WX (2008a) Input and output of dissolved organic and inorganic nitrogen in subtropical forests of South China under high air pollution. Biogeosciences 5:339–352
- Fang Y, Zhu W, Gundersen P, Mo J, Zhou G, Yoh M (2008b)
 Large loss of dissolved organic nitrogen in nitrogensaturated forests in subtropical China. Ecosystems (N Y, Print). doi:10.1007/s10021-008-9203-7
- Garten CT Jr, Huston MA, Thoms CA (1994) Topographic 671 variation of soil nitrogen dynamics at Walker Branch 672 watershed, Tennessee. For Sci 40:497–512 673
- Groffman PM, Gold AJ, Jacinthe PA (1998) Nitrous oxide
 production in riparian zones and groundwater. Nutr Cycl
 Agroecosyst 52:179–186 doi:10.1023/A:1009719923861
 676
- Hairston AB, Grigal DF (1994) Topographic variation in soil water and nitrogen for two forested landforms in Minnesota, USA. Geoderma 64:125–138 doi:10.1016/0016-7061 (94)90093-0
- Hefting MM, Bobbink R, Janssen M (2006) Spatial variation in
 681

 denitrification and N₂O emission in relation to nitrate
 682

 removal efficiency in a N-stressed riparian buffer zone.
 683

 Ecosystems (N Y, Print) 9:55–563 doi:10.1007/s10021 684

 006-0160-8
 685
- Hirobe M, Tokuchi N, Iwatsubo G (1998) Spatial variability of soil nitrogen transformation patterns along a forest slope in a *Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don plantation. Eur J Soil Biol 34:123–131 doi:10.1016/S1164-5563(00)88649-5 689
- Hishi T, Hirobe M, Tateno R, Takeda H (2004) Spatial and temporal patterns of water-extractable organic carbon (WEOC) of surface mineral soil in a cool temperate forest ecosystem. Soil Biol Biochem 36:1731–1737 doi:10.1016/ 693 j.soilbio.2004.04.030 694
- Holst J, Liu C, Yao Z, Brüggemann N, Zheng X, Han X, 695
 Butterbach-Bahl K (2008) Importance of point sources on regional nitrous oxide fluxes in semi-arid steppe of Inner 697

639

663

664

665

666

668

669

670

677

678

679

680

667 Q1

🖉 Springer

- 698 Mongolia, China. Plant Soil 296:209–226 doi:10.1007/ 699 s11104-007-9311-8
- Huang ZF, Fan ZG (1982) The climate of Ding Hu Shan. Trop
 Subtrop For Ecosyst 1:11–23 in Chinese with English
 abstract
- 703 IPCC (2001) Third assessment report of the intergovernmental
 704 panel on climate change. Cambridge University Press,
 705 Cambridge
- 706Jungkunst HF, Flessa H, Scherber C, Fiedler S (2008)707Groundwater level controls CO_2 , N_2O and CH_4 fluxes of708three different hydromorphic soil types of a temperate709forest ecosystem. Soil Biol Biochem 40:2047–2054710doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.04.015
- T11 Li DJ, Wang XM, Mo JM, Sheng GY, Fu JM (2007) Soil nitric
 oxide emissions from two subtropical humid forests in
 south China. J Geophys Res 112:D23302 doi:10.1029/
 2007JD008680
- 715 Liu GS, Jiang NH, Zhang LD (1996) Soil physical and
 716 chemical analysis and description of soil profiles. Stand717 ards Press of China, Beijing (in Chinese)
- 718 Luizao RCC, Luizao FJ, Paiva RQ, Monteiro TF, Sousa LS,
- Kruijt B (2004) Variation of carbon and nitrogen cycling
 processes along a topographic gradient in a central
 Amazonian forest. Glob Change Biol 10:592–600
- 722 doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2003.00757.x 723 Mo JM, Zhang W, Zhu WX, Gundersen P, Fang YT, Li DJ,
- Wang H (2008) Nitrogen addition reduces soil respiration
 in a mature tropical forest in southern China. Glob Change
 Biol 14:403–412
- Pumpanen J, Kolari P, Ilvesniemi H, Minkkinen K, Vesala T,
 Niinistö S, Lohila A, Larmola T, Morero M, Pihlatie M,
 Janssens I, Yuste JC, Grünzweig JM, Reth S, Subke JA,
 Savage K, Kutsch W, Østreng G, Ziegler W, Anthoni P,
- T31 Lindroth A, Hari P (2004) Comparison of different chamber
- techniques for measuring soil CO₂ efflux. Agric For
 Meteorol 123:159–176 doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2003.12.001
- Reiners WA, Keller M, Gerow KG (1998) Estimating rainy
 season nitrous oxide and methane fluxes across forest and
 pasture landscapes in Costa Rica. Water Air Soil Pollut
 105:117–130 doi:10.1023/A:1005078214585
- 778

- Saiz G, Green C, Butterbach-Bahl K, Kiese R, Avitabile V,738Farrell EP (2006) Seasonal and Spatial variability of soil739respiration in four Sitka spruce stands. Plant Soil 287:161–740176 doi:10.1007/s11104-006-9052-0741
- Stehfest E, Bouwman L (2006) N2O and NO emission from
agricultural fields and soils under natural vegetation:
summarizing available measurement data and modeling
of global annual emissions. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst
74:207–228 doi:10.1007/s10705-006-9000-7742
- Tang XL, Liu SG, Zhou GY, Zhang DQ, Zhou CY (2006) Soil– atmospheric exchange of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O in three subtropical forest ecosystems in southern China. Glob Change Biol 12:546–560 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486. 750 2006.01109.x 751
- Werner C, Zheng X, Tang J, Xie B, Liu C, Kiese R, Butterbach-Bahl K (2006) N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ emissions from seasonal tropical rainforests and a rubber plantation in Southwest China. Plant Soil 289:335–353 doi:10.1007/ s11104-006-9143-y
 756
- Werner C, Butterbach-Bahl K, Haas E, Hickler T, Kiese R (2007) A global inventory of N₂O emissions from tropical rainforest soils using a detailed biogeochemical model. 759
 Global Biogeochem Cycles 21:GB3010 doi:10.1029/ 760
 2006GB002909 761
- Yu KW, Faulkner SP, Baldwin MJ (2008) Effect of hydrological conditions on nitrous oxide, methane, and carbon dioxide dynamics in a bottomland hardwood forest and its implication for soil carbon sequestration. Glob Change Biol 14:1–15 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486. 2008.01545.x
- Zhang W, Mo JM, Yu GR, Fang YT, Li DJ, Lu XK, Wang H (2008a) Emissions of nitrous oxide from three tropical forests in Southern China in response to simulated nitrogen deposition. Plant Soil 306:221–236 doi:10.1007/ 771 s11104-008-9575-7 772
- Zhang W, Mo JM, Zhou GY, Gundersen P, Fang YT, Lu XK,
 Zhang T, Dong SF (2008b) Methane uptake responses to
 nitrogen deposition in three tropical forests in southern
 China. J Geophys Res 113:D11116 doi:10.1029/2007
 JD009195
 777

AUTHOR QUERY

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER QUERY.

Q1. Please provide update on the publication status of Fang et al. (2008b).

unconnection